# **Private Equity** 2021

Contributing editor Atif Azher





© Law Business Research 2021

#### Publisher Tom Barnes tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions Claire Bagnall claire.bagnall@lbresearch.com

#### Senior business development manager Adam Sargent

adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

#### Published by

Law Business Research Ltd Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street London, EC4A 4HL, UK

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyerclient relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between January and March 2021. Be advised that this is a developing area.

© Law Business Research Ltd 2021 No photocopying without a CLA licence. First published 2005 Seventeenth edition ISBN 978-1-83862-701-0

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112



# **Private Equity** 2021

Contributing editor Atif Azher Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

Lexology Getting The Deal Through is delighted to publish the seventeenth edition of *Private Equity*, which is available in print and online at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique Lexology Getting The Deal Through format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured. Our coverage this year includes a new chapter on Russia. The report is divided into two sections: the first deals with fund formation in 13 jurisdictions and the second deals with transactions in 18 jurisdictions.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editor, Atif Azher of Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, for his continued assistance with this volume, and also extend thanks to Bill Curbow of Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, the former contributing editor, who helped to shape the publication to date.



London March 2021

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in April 2021 For further information please contact editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com

## **Contents**

#### **Global overview**

5

Atif Azher, Peter H Gilman, Fred de Albuquerque and Audra Cohen Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

#### FUND FORMATION

Australia	8	Luxembourg
Ben Landau, Con Tzerefos, Benson Chin and Fergus Calwell		Marc Meyers
Ashurst LLP		Loyens & Loeff
Austria	16	South Korea
Martin Abram and Clemens Philipp Schindler		Sungjo Yun, M
Schindler Attorneys		Seung-Wan Ch Bae, Kim & Lee
British Virgin Islands	23	
Andrew Jowett and Rebecca Jack		Spain
Appleby		Carlos de Cárc Manuel García
Cayman Islands	32	Alter Legal
Chris Humphries, Jonathan McLean and Simon Orriss		
Stuarts Walker Hersant Humphries		United Arab E
-		Hasan Anwar I
Egypt	42	RIAA Barker G
Nora Harb		
Thebes Consultancy		United Kingdo
<b>0</b>	(0)	Robert Lee, Ya
Germany	49	Simpson Thack
Tarek Mardini and Sebastian Käpplinger		United Chates
POELLATH		United States
Japan	57	Thomas H Bell
Jahan	57	Herman, Jonat

Makoto Igarashi and Yoshiharu Kawamata Nishimura & Asahi

Marc Meyers	
Loyens & Loeff	
South Korea	76
Sungjo Yun, Mok Hong Kim, Ho Kyung Chang, Eugene Hwang and Seung-Wan Chae Bae, Kim & Lee LLC	
Spain	82
Carlos de Cárdenas, Alejandra Font, Víctor Doménech and	
Manuel García-Riestra	
Alter Legal	
United Arab Emirates	92
Hasan Anwar Rizvi	
RIAA Barker Gillette (Middle East) LLP	
United Kingdom	98
Robert Lee, Yash Rupal, Amy Fox and Paul Dodd	

mpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

#### nited States

omas H Bell, Barrie B Covit, Peter Gilman, Olga Gutman, Jason A rman, Jonathan A Karen, Parker B Kelsey, Steven R Klar, Rony L Rothken, Glenn R Sarno, Peter P Vassilev and Michael W Wolitzer Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

63

107

187

193

199

204

211

237

#### TRANSACTIONS

Australia	122
Anton Harris, Ben Landau, Mark Stanbridge and Stuart Dullard Ashurst LLP	
Austria	132
Florian Philipp Cvak and Clemens Philipp Schindler Schindler Attorneys	
British Virgin Islands	139
Andrew Jowett and Rebecca Jack Appleby	
Cayman Islands	145
Chris Humphries, Jonathan McLean and Simon Orriss Stuarts Walker Hersant Humphries	
Egypt	150
Aya Sabry and Nora Harb Thebes Consultancy	
France	154
Saam Golshani, Alexis Hojabr, Estelle Philippi , Franck De Vita, Samir Berlat and Alexandre Balat White & Case LLP	
Germany	161
Tim Kaufhold and Tobias Jäger POELLATH	
India	168
Aakash Choubey and Sharad Moudgal Khaitan & Co	
Japan	180
Asa Shinkawa and Keitaro Hamada Nishimura & Asahi	

#### Nigeria

Tamuno Atekebo, Eberechi Okoh, Oyeniyi Immanuel and Oluwafeyikemi Fatunmbi Streamsowers & Köhn

#### Russia

Laura M Brank, Evgenia Korotkova, Kirill Skopchevskiy, Pavel Dunaev, Tatiana Shlenchakova and Akop Tovmasyan Dechert LLP

#### South Korea

Sungjo Yun, Mok Hong Kim, Ho Kyung Chang, Eugene Hwang and Seung-Wan Chae Bae, Kim & Lee LLC

#### Spain

Lucas Palomar and Bojan Radovanovic Cases & Lacambra Abogados SLP

#### Switzerland

Patrik R Peyer, Daniela Schmucki, Till Spillmann and Philippe A Weber Niederer Kraft Frey

# Thailand219Jirapong Sriwat and Apinya Sarntikasem<br/>Nishimura & Asahi219United Arab Emirates225

Hasan Anwar Rizvi RIAA Barker Gillette (Middle East) LLP

## United Kingdom230Clare Gaskell, Amy Mahon, Yash Rupal and Kate SinclairSimpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

#### United States

Atif Azher, Peter Gilman and Fred de Albuquerque Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP

## Germany

Tarek Mardini and Sebastian Käpplinger

POELLATH

#### FORMATION

#### Forms of vehicle

1 What legal form of vehicle is typically used for private equity funds formed in your jurisdiction? Does such a vehicle have a separate legal personality or existence under the law of your jurisdiction? In either case, what are the legal consequences for investors and the manager?

The most common legal form is a closed-ended fund organised as a German limited partnership (KG) as it is tax-transparent, allows flexible structuring and provides limited liability to investors. KGs have separate legal personality. The general partner (GP) of the KG is personally liable for the debts of the KG. To reduce liability risks, typically a company with limited liability (GmbH) serves as GP (GmbH & Co KG). The investors join as limited partners. The fund manager is typically acting as managing limited partner of the KG. Besides the KG, several other legal forms are available for German private equity funds (eg, investment KG, investment AG, UBG). However, the KG is the market standard (in particular for registered, ie, 'sub-threshold', fund managers).

#### Forming a private equity fund vehicle

2 What is the process for forming a private equity fund vehicle in your jurisdiction?

The formation of a KG is simple. The KG comes into legal existence with the signing of the limited partnership agreement (LPA) by the GP and the limited partners. To ensure limited liability for investors, the KG and its partners will be registered in the German commercial register. Also, the beneficial owners must be reported to the transparency register. Notarisation of the LPA is not required, but the filing with the commercial register must be effected by a notary. Signatures of investors must be notarised by a notary public (if taking place outside Germany, generally an apostille in accordance with the Hague Convention has to be provided by the notary public). Limited partners in the form of an entity must provide proof of their valid existence and due representation by the signatories. The fees and expenses for the notarisation of filing with the commercial register and the registration fees are fairly small and generally do not exceed €2,000. Filings can usually be effected within two to four weeks. The KG itself has no minimum capital requirements. A minimum registered capital of €25,000 applies to a GmbH serving as GP.

#### Requirements

3 Is a private equity fund vehicle formed in your jurisdiction required to maintain locally a custodian or administrator, a registered office, books and records, or a corporate secretary, and how is that requirement typically satisfied?

A separate custodian is necessary if the fund is managed by a fully licensed manager under the KAGB (the German implementation of the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD)). A custodian is not necessary in the case of a registered (sub-threshold) manager. A fund in the form of a KG requires a domicile in Germany and must comply with the commercial law requirements regarding book-keeping. The fund manager typically serves as managing limited partner of the fund and also performs corporate secretarial and administrative tasks. A separate administrator is rather uncommon (as opposed to other jurisdictions).

#### Access to information

4 What access to information about a private equity fund formed in your jurisdiction is the public granted by law? How is it accessed? If applicable, what are the consequences of failing to make such information available?

The records maintained at the commercial registry are public via the internet. This includes the identity of the investors as limited partners and their liability amounts (typically expressed as a small percentage of the capital commitment). Such disclosure can be avoided by interposing a nominee as direct limited partner, to hold and manage its limited partner interest for and on behalf of the investors as beneficiaries. Filing of the partnership agreement is not required, thus the fund terms remain confidential. The partnership is required to file its annual financial statements with the commercial register and to publish them in the electronic Federal Gazette. The articles of association of the GP are filed with the commercial register and are available to the general public. Fines and other enforcement measures can be imposed for failure to make required filings. In 2018, Germany introduced the transparency register under the EU anti-money laundering (AML) law. The transparency register must include all beneficial owners unless the beneficial owners are already shown in public documents in the commercial register.

#### Limited liability for third-party investors

5 In what circumstances would the limited liability of thirdparty investors in a private equity fund formed in your jurisdiction not be respected as a matter of local law?

The investor's liability as limited partner in relation to the partnership is limited to such investor's capital commitment. Liability in relation to third-party creditors of the fund is limited to the liability amount registered with the commercial registry, typically a small percentage of the actual capital commitment. If this amount has been paid into the partnership, then there is no additional liability of such limited partner to third parties. Potentially, there is a risk that a limited partner is treated as GP (ie, fully liable to third parties) for the period of time between its admittance to the partnership and registration of such limited partner with the commercial register (whether when subscribing to a fund in the fundraising process or in the case of a transfer). However, technical solutions are available and common to avoid such risk (eg, making the registration with commercial register a condition precedent for the formal admission to the partnership). Otherwise, there are generally no circumstances in which the limited liability of limited partners would not be respected as a matter of German law.

#### Fund manager's fiduciary duties

6 What are the fiduciary duties owed to a private equity fund formed in your jurisdiction and its third-party investors by that fund's manager (or other similar control party or fiduciary) under the laws of your jurisdiction, and to what extent can those fiduciary duties be modified by agreement of the parties?

A fund manager's fiduciary duties are mainly based on the rules of conduct imposed by the AIFMD. This means a fund manager must act honestly, fairly and with due skill, act in the best interests of the fund and its investors and treat all investors fairly. Furthermore, the fund manager must take all reasonable steps to avoid conflicts of interest where possible. These fiduciary duties cannot be altered by agreement. However, the fund manager and the investor can agree on higher threshold for the fund manager's liability.

#### **Gross negligence**

7 Does your jurisdiction recognise a 'gross negligence' (as opposed to 'ordinary negligence') standard of liability applicable to the management of a private equity fund?

The management of the fund (ie, the GP, the managing limited partner, or both) must by law apply the standard of care of a prudent business person. In particular, the management must follow the legal requirements for book-keeping, preparing of statutory accounts and filing of tax returns of the fund. In practice, however, partnership agreements typically restrict the liability of the GP and the managing limited partner to gross negligence and wilful misconduct. Some commentators in legal publications dispute, however, whether such a restricted standard of liability can be enforced in court as between the partners of a partnership.

#### Other special issues or requirements

8 Are there any other special issues or requirements particular to private equity fund vehicles formed in your jurisdiction? Is conversion or redomiciling to vehicles in your jurisdiction permitted? If so, in converting or redomiciling limited partnerships formed in other jurisdictions into limited partnerships in your jurisdiction, what are the most material terms that typically must be modified?

Fund sponsors need to be aware of the special rules on the taxation of a private equity fund. German regulated investors, such as insurance companies, require a free transferability of their interest in the fund. If the sponsor uses the limited partnership (GmbH & Co KG) as the most common private equity fund vehicle in Germany, investors need to be registered with the commercial register of the KG in order to be shielded from unlimited liability. There are no specific rules for a conversion of a non-domestic -vehicle into a domestic vehicle. Possible from a legal perspective is redomiciling of a non-domestic vehicle to Germany. This would result in the case of a limited partnership to a conversion of the vehicle into a German limited partnership (GmbH & Co KG). The most material change of such redomiciling will be the fact that the KG and its investors need to be registered with the local commercial register in order to benefit from limited liability. Potential negative tax effects of such conversion or redomiciling have to be analysed in advance on a case-by-case basis.

#### Fund sponsor bankruptcy or change of control

9 With respect to institutional sponsors of private equity funds organised in your jurisdiction, what are some of the primary legal and regulatory consequences and other key issues for the private equity fund and its general partner and investment adviser arising out of a bankruptcy, insolvency, change of control, restructuring or similar transaction of the private equity fund's sponsor?

There are no legal or regulatory rules directly connecting an event at the fund sponsor level with the private equity fund and its GP and investment adviser. It is possible, though – depending on the group structure – that events such as bankruptcy, insolvency, change of control or restructuring at the sponsor level will lead to regulatory consequences at the manager level or at the level of the investment adviser. For instance, change of control events in the top holding company of a group will require a notification process to the regulator. Furthermore, a bankruptcy or insolvency of the GP leads to an automatic removal of the GP from the fund and the fund being switched into 'run-down mode'.

In practice, it is common that the fund LPA contains at least change of control provisions with regard to the GP and the fund manager. It is then left to the negotiations with the investors how extensive these provisions are with regard to other events and other entities of the manager group.

#### **REGULATION, LICENSING AND REGISTRATION**

#### Principal regulatory bodies

10 What are the principal regulatory bodies that would have authority over a private equity fund and its manager in your jurisdiction, and what are the regulators' audit and inspection rights and managers' regulatory reporting requirements to investors or regulators?

The regulatory body in Germany is the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin). The regulation of private equity funds in Germany is based on the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD). The regulatory regime is therefore foremost a regulation of the manager and only indirectly a regulation of the fund itself. BaFin has inspection rights towards managers as well as the right to perform an audit. In addition, each fully licensed manager must itself have an auditor perform an audit on the manager's regulatory compliance.

The regulatory reporting requirements are as follows.

#### Registered managers (AIFMD sub-threshold managers)

Reporting obligations to BaFin:

 annual report of information pursuant Annex IV of delegated regulation (EU) 231/2013 (AIFMD Annex IV Reporting).

Reporting obligations to the German federal bank (Bundesbank):

- monthly report regarding the composition of the fund's assets and the adjustment of the fund's assets as a result of revaluation; and
- quarterly reporting of granted loans of each amount over  ${\mathfrak e}1$  million.

Reporting obligations to BaFin:

- ad-hoc notifications in the case of material changes (eg, dismissal of a managing director or reduction of own funds);
- annual financial statement of the manager; and
- AIFMD Annex IV Reporting.
- Reporting to Bundesbank:
- same as registered managers (see above).

As for the regulatory reporting to investors, half-yearly and yearly reports are mandatory for fully licensed managers. For registered managers, there is no regulatory investor reporting requirement; however, annual reports are required by German commercial law.

#### **Governmental requirements**

11 What are the governmental approval, licensing or registration requirements applicable to a private equity fund in your jurisdiction? Does it make a difference whether there are significant investment activities in your jurisdiction?

Regulation of private equity funds is primarily exercised through the regulation of the managers. It requires that the manager is either fully licensed or registered with BaFin under the KAGB (the German implementation of the AIFMD).

#### Registered managers (AIFMD sub-threshold managers): registration process Availability

The registration process is only available to certain small or mediumsized managers. The most important category of these small to medium-sized managers are known as sub-threshold managers under the AIFMD/KAGB. In practice, most German private equity fund managers fall within this category.

Sub-threshold managers under the KAGB are managers with assets under management of not more than €100 million (in the case of leverage) or not more than €500 million (no leverage) and who only manage special alternative investment funds (special AIFs). Special AIFs are AIFs whose interests or shares may only be acquired according to the fund documents by professional investors or semi-professional investors (ie, non-retail funds). Besides the requirements mentioned above, special private equity AIFs managed by sub-threshold managers are in principle not regulated.

An interesting option for a sub-threshold manager in the small to mid-cap market segment is to get additionally registered under the EU EuVECA regime to benefit from an EU marketing passport.

#### Registration procedure

The registration procedure for sub-threshold managers is comparatively simple. It requires the submission of an informal registration request together with certain 'corporate' documents on the manager and the managed funds (such as the fund's limited partnership agreement (LPA) and the manager's articles of association). In addition to being a special AIF, the fund may not require the investors to additionally pay in capital beyond the investor's original commitment.

The possible EuVECA registration is in line with the EuVECA requirements on the manager and the fund.

#### Ongoing issues

An advantage of the registration is that only few provisions of the KAGB apply to a registered-only manager, mainly the provisions on the registration requirements, ongoing reporting requirements and the general supervisory powers of BaFin. However, fund-specific requirements do not apply to registered-only managers and their funds. In particular, the

On the downside, the registration restricts the manager to the type of funds and investors for which the registration was obtained (ie, only special AIFs and professional or semi-professional investors). Furthermore, a registered manager does not benefit from the EU marketing passport under the AIFMD. A registered manager can, however, opt in to become a fully licensed manager.

#### Fully licensed manager: licensing process Availability

Fund managers who do not qualify for a registration or who opt out of a registration must apply for a full fund-management licence with BaFin under the KAGB. A full fund-management licence opens the door for a manager to market funds to retail investors as well as to the EU marketing passport under the AIFMD.

#### Licensing procedure

The licensing procedure is a fully fledged authorisation process with requirements equivalent to the requirements for granting permission under article 8 AIFMD. The licensing procedure checks requirements, such as sufficient initial capital or own funds, sufficiently good repute of the directors and shareholders, and organisational structure of the manager.

#### Ongoing issues

The licensing of the manager results in the manager being subject to the entirety of the KAGB. This means, in particular, the following:

- the required appointment of a depositary for the funds;
- access to setting up contractual funds;
- adherence to the corporate governance rules for funds set up as investment corporations or investment limited partnerships (investment KGs);
- · adherence to the fund-related requirements of the KAGB;
- adherence to the marketing rules of the KAGB;
- access to the marketing passport under the AIFMD;
- · access to the managing passport under the AIFMD; and
- adherence to the reporting requirements of the KAGB.

#### **Registration of investment adviser**

12 Is a private equity fund's manager, or any of its officers, directors or control persons, required to register as an investment adviser in your jurisdiction?

The German regime requires the entity that is conducting the portfolio and risk management of a fund to have a licence as a fund manager under the KAGB/AIFMD. There is no separate registration as an investment adviser. If a separate entity is advising the fund manager, such entity might need a Markets in Financial Instruments (MiFID) licence for investment advice.

#### Fund manager requirements

13 Are there any specific qualifications or other requirements imposed on a private equity fund's manager, or any of its officers, directors or control persons, in your jurisdiction?

The regulatory requirements differ depending on whether the manager is fully licensed or a registered manager.

A registered manager does not have to meet any regulatory capital requirements or suitability requirements. It is sufficient for the manager to meet the capital requirements under company law (eg, €25,000 for a German company with limited liability (GmbH)). In practice, though, BaFin prefers to see that a registered manager has sufficient substance to be able to manage the fund.

The possible EuVECA registration requirements are in line with the EuVECA requirements on the manager and the fund.

A fully licensed manager must hold at least €125,000 initial capital. In addition, the manager must have additional own funds if the value of the assets under management exceeds €250 million. The additional own funds amount to 0.02 per cent of the value of the investment assets under management that exceeds €250 million. This corresponds to €20,000 per €100 million. Regardless of these calculations, the manager must have own funds amounting to at least 25 per cent of the fixed overhead costs.

A fully licensed manager needs at least two managing directors. The managing directors must be reliable and professionally suitable. The professional suitability is regularly given if the managing director has held a managerial position with a fund manager for at least three years. BaFin assesses the professional suitability individually, however, so the suitability can also be proven with less relevant professional experience.

#### **Political contributions**

14 Describe any rules - or policies of public pension plans or other governmental entities - in your jurisdiction that restrict, or require disclosure of, political contributions by a private equity fund's manager or investment adviser or their employees.

There are no such detailed rules or restrictions in Germany (other than the general criminal laws on bribery). This probably reflects the fact that investments of public pension plans and other governmental activities in private equity funds are still rather limited in Germany.

#### Use of intermediaries and lobbyist registration

15 Describe any rules - or policies of public pension plans or other governmental entities - in your jurisdiction that restrict, or require disclosure by a private equity fund's manager or investment adviser of, the engagement of placement agents, lobbyists or other intermediaries in the marketing of the fund to public pension plans and other governmental entities. Describe any rules that require a fund's investment adviser or its employees and agents to register as lobbyists in the marketing of the fund to public pension plans and governmental entities.

None. Where applicable, the disclosure requirements under MiFID II apply if intermediaries are used in the marketing of the fund interests. German law treats potential investors as the regulatory client of the MiFID intermediary. This results in the application of the MiFID rules of good conduct and cost-disclosures rules to the relationship between the intermediary and the potential investor.

#### **Bank participation**

16 Describe any legal or regulatory developments emerging from the recent global financial crisis that specifically affect banks with respect to investing in or sponsoring private equity funds.

As a consequence of the global financial crisis, credit institutions in the meaning of the Capital Requirements Regulation are prohibited from conducting guarantee and credit business with private equity funds. However, this prohibition only applies if the balance sheet total of the credit institution exceeds a certain threshold. Under the same conditions, credit institutions are also prohibited from conducting proprietary business.

#### TAXATION

#### **Tax obligations**

17 Would a private equity fund vehicle formed in your jurisdiction be subject to taxation there with respect to its income or gains? Would the fund be required to withhold taxes with respect to distributions to investors? Describe what conditions, if any, apply to a private equity fund to qualify for applicable tax exemptions.

#### Partnerships

For funds in the form of a partnership (eg, a limited partnership (KG)), the general rules of taxation are applicable (ie, the special tax regime for corporate funds under the German Investment Tax Act, see below, is not applicable). Therefore, if the fund is structured as a partnership that is not engaged in trade or business, it is neither subject to German income tax nor German trade tax (ie, the partnership is treated as 'transparent' for tax purposes). Any income derived by the partnership is immediately allocated to its partners and taxed at the level of the partners in accordance with the rules of the tax regime applicable to the respective partner. On the other hand, if the fund vehicle qualifies as engaged in a trade or business, the fund itself is still not subject to German income tax, but it is subject to German trade tax.

There are no withholding tax implications at the level of the partnership itself. Withholding tax implications can arise from the underlying investments made by the fund.

#### Investment funds

Funds in the form of a corporation or of a contractual type are covered by the Investment Tax Act (investment funds). Under the opaque regime, the fund is subject to taxation in respect to certain domestic German income (in particular, dividends and real estate income, but not capital gains from the sale of securities unrelated to real estate and unrelated to a permanent establishment in Germany) at fund level (15 per cent tax rate (ie, German corporate tax)). The exemption for dividends (section 8b of the German Corporation Tax Act) is not applicable at fund level even if the relevant threshold (ie, 10 per cent) is exceeded. In addition, German trade tax may be triggered at fund level if it is engaged in trade or business in Germany (subject to a potential exemption if the fund does not engage in 'active entrepreneurial management' in relation to its assets).

Investment funds are required to withhold tax for the taxable income of their (domestic) investors, but not for the income from the sale of fund units.

In general, there are no tax exemptions at the level of the investment fund. In return, at the level of the investor investment fund proceeds are subject to partial exemptions depending on the respective fund type (equity fund, mixed fund or real estate fund).

At the investor level, there is a lump-sum taxation for investment fund proceeds (ie, distributions, predetermined tax bases and capital gains from dispositions or redemptions). For individual investors, the actual rate of investor level taxation depends on whether the investor holds the fund interests as part of their non-business or business assets. For individuals that hold their investment fund interests as part of their non-business assets, such items are subject to flat income tax. For individuals that hold their investment fund interests as part of their business assets, principally, the full amount of such items is subject to income tax at their personal rate. For corporate investors, the full amount of such items is subject to corporation tax. In addition, German trade tax may be triggered. The partial income taxation and the exemption pursuant to section 8b of the German Corporation Tax Act do not apply. In return, investment fund proceeds are subject to partial exemptions depending on the respective fund type. With respect to equity funds, the partial exemption is:

FUND FORMATION

- 30 per cent of such proceeds for individuals that hold their investment fund interests as part of their non-business assets;
- 60 per cent for individuals that hold their investment fund interests as part of their business assets; and
- 80 per cent for corporate investors.

With respect to mixed funds, half of the applicable partial exemption rate applicable to equity funds is available. With respect to real estate funds, the partial exemption is 60 or 80 per cent of the proceeds, depending on whether the fund invests at least 51 per cent of its value in German or non-German real estate and real estate companies. In return, incomerelated expenses and operating expenses may not be deducted to the extent of the available partial exemption percentage. With regard to trade tax, half of the applicable partial exemption rate applies.

In addition, if the investment fund qualifies as a specialised investment fund, the fund may opt to be treated transparently for tax purposes. As a result, the fund itself would not be subject of taxation.

#### Local taxation of non-resident investors

18 Would non-resident investors in a private equity fund be subject to taxation or return-filing requirements in your jurisdiction?

In general, non-resident investors of a private equity fund structured as a partnership will be subject to taxes in Germany pursuant to the German general tax rules for non-residents. If the fund is structured as a partnership having asset management status (ie, is not deemed to be in business and not engaged in business activities for German tax purposes), non-resident investors are generally (if holding less than 1 per cent indirect share in such portfolio company) not taxed on capital gains realised by the fund from the sale of a portfolio company and they are not required to file tax returns in Germany. However, income of nonresident investors might be subject to the German withholding tax (eg, with regard to dividend distributions from a portfolio corporation held by the private equity fund). A refund, an exemption or a reduction of withholding tax may depend on certain filing procedures. This may also apply with regard to certain double taxation treaties.

The distributions to a non-resident investor of an investment fund will not be taxable in Germany and will not be subject to withholding tax. As a result, non-resident investors who make German investments via (domestic or foreign) investment funds only have to bear a German tax burden, as far as there is a taxation at fund level (fund input side). The German non-taxation of distributions to non-resident investors (fund output side) is completely independent of which assets the fund holds, in which country the investor is domiciled and whether there a double taxation agreement is applicable.

#### Local tax authority ruling

19 Is it necessary or desirable to obtain a ruling from local tax authorities with respect to the tax treatment of a private equity fund vehicle formed in your jurisdiction? Are there any special tax rules relating to investors that are residents of your jurisdiction?

It is desirable to obtain a binding ruling from the local tax authorities on the tax classification of the fund to increase the level of comfort of both investors (including foreign investors) and fund managers as the tax status may not be clear (also depending on the investment strategy). If the fund is structured as a partnership, an advanced tax ruling should ideally ensure that the asset management criteria are met from the point of view of the tax administration. For investment funds under the German Investment Tax Act that want to be taxed transparently, it may be desirable to obtain a binding ruling to ensure that the criteria There is no special treatment of income from a fund in the form of a partnership. The income is taxed at the level of German-resident investors in accordance with the general rules applicable to the respective investor and the respective type of income. Domestic and foreign investors of investment funds are formally treated equally. However, the partial exemption rates provided in the German Investment Tax Act only benefit German investors, because foreign investors are generally not subject to any tax obligation in Germany at the level of the investment fund investor.

#### **Organisational taxes**

### 20 Must any significant organisational taxes be paid with respect to private equity funds organised in your jurisdiction?

There are no significant organisational taxes (including no stamp duties) required to be paid with respect to private equity funds organised in Germany.

#### Special tax considerations

21 Describe briefly what special tax considerations, if any, apply with respect to a private equity fund's sponsor.

#### **Carried interest**

The carried interest of a sponsor of an asset managing (ie, non-trading) private equity fund is not subject to German trade tax. In addition, there is a 40 per cent income tax exemption, resulting in an effective rate of income tax of around 28.5 per cent, if certain cumulative criteria are fulfilled (in particular, the fund must qualify for asset management status and the carried interest must be paid only after the investors have had all their invested capital paid back).

Otherwise, such income is potentially generally fully taxable at normal German income tax rates. However, a new decision of the highest German tax court (Bundesfinanzhof), issued in late 2018 and published in mid-2019, clarified the tax treatment of carried interest from business-type fund structures. The court ruled that carried interest received from a business-type private equity fund should be qualified as a (disproportionate) share of income. In other words, the decision rejected the view from the German tax authorities that, in the absence of the applicability of the special legislative rules for carried interest received from 'asset managing' funds, carried interest should be taxed as a (hidden) service fee at normal tax rates. As a consequence of this case law, the partial income applies insofar as the carried interest is comprised of capital gains or dividends. This also applies to private equity funds that are deemed-business or business-tainted only. From the sponsor perspective, this is good news, and results in a more or less uniform tax treatment of carried interest (irrespective of whether the fund is seen as a business-type fund or an asset management-type fund). It remains to be seen whether the tax authorities will accept this position (or initiate a legislative change).

#### Management fee

In general, the management fee payable to the managing partner of a fund was subject to the German VAT until end of 2017 (regardless of whether such management fee is structured as a priority profit share). According to the revised German VAT Act as of 2018, the management of Undertakings for the Collective Investment in Transferable Securities (UCITS) and of certain alternative investment funds (AIFs) that are comparable to UCITS, are exempt from VAT. The German VAT Act does not stipulate which types of AIF are comparable to UCITS. The German tax authorities have established criteria that must be fulfilled in order to benefit from the VAT exemption (eg, the AIF has to offer shares to

the same group of investors and be subject to similar obligations and controls as UCITS). In addition, it was clarified that open-ended special AIF will be exempt from VAT without fulfilling the established criteria, whereas the administration of closed-ended AIF will only be exempt from VAT if certain previously established criteria were cumulatively met.

#### Tax treaties

22 List any relevant tax treaties to which your jurisdiction is a party and how such treaties apply to the fund vehicle.

Germany has signed tax treaties with most OECD states and with many other states. Because of tax transparency, such treaties generally do not apply to a fund structured as a partnership, but directly to its partners. For the specific taxation under a tax treaty, it may be relevant whether the fund qualifies as a commercial or asset-managing partnership and if there is any permanent establishment. If the fund vehicle is structured as a corporation, such tax treaties generally apply to the corporate fund itself. However, each case must be carefully assessed for tax consequences arising from the applicable treaty and the relevant rules in each jurisdiction (eg, whether there is an applicable treaty override).

#### Other significant tax issues

23 Are there any other significant tax issues relating to private equity funds organised in your jurisdiction?

Depending on the structure of the fund and its assets, different German tax regimes apply. The structure of the specific investment may have far-reaching tax consequences at the fund level, but also at the investor level (eg, the structure may be relevant for the question whether the income of a foreign investor in a German is taxable (and subject to German tax filings), subject to withholding tax or whether double taxation treaties apply). The German tax landscape is complex and subject to constant change. Thus consulting experienced tax counsel regarding the establishment and investment activities of the fund as well as fund investments by investors is highly recommended.

#### SELLING RESTRICTIONS AND INVESTORS GENERALLY

#### Legal and regulatory restrictions

24 Describe the principal legal and regulatory restrictions on offers and sales of interests in private equity funds formed in your jurisdiction, including the type of investors to whom such funds (or private equity funds formed in other jurisdictions) may be offered without registration under applicable securities laws in your jurisdiction.

Only funds managed by German registered sub-threshold managers can be marketed on a private placement basis to professional and semiprofessional investors in Germany. Also, marketing under the EuVECA regime is still rather simple and the regime provides an EU marketing passport. In the case of a fully licensed manager, the marketing of the fund requires Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) approval.

#### Types of investor

25 Describe any restrictions on the types of investors that may participate in private equity funds formed in your jurisdiction (other than those imposed by applicable securities laws described above).

It is possible to form a private equity fund for retail investors. However, market practice is that private equity funds are only formed for participations by semi-professional and professional investors.

#### Identity of investors

26 Does your jurisdiction require any ongoing filings with, or notifications to, regulators regarding the identity of investors in private equity funds (including by virtue of transfers of fund interests) or regarding the change in the composition of ownership, management or control of the fund or the manager?

There are no regulatory filing requirements towards BaFin with regard to the identity of the fund investor. A fully licensed manager must notify BaFin of every change of ownership and every change of management with regard to the fund manager. A registered manager does not have these obligations. In the case of funds in the form of a limited partnership (KG), investors and any transfer of interests must be registered in the commercial register.

#### Licences and registrations

27 Does your jurisdiction require that the person offering interests in a private equity fund have any licences or registrations?

In principle, a person who sells financial instruments (including fund interests) needs a Markets in Financial Instruments (MiFID) licence under the German Banking Act. However, if the person sells only fund interests of a fund managed by fully licensed AIFM, a simpler licence under the German Trade Act suffices if the respective fund is approved for marketing in Germany. Germany has now adapted this simpler regime to the new MiFID II requirements on such a lighter-touch regime (with effect from August 2020). Unlike in the United Kingdom, German law considers the potential investor to be the regulatory client of the placement agent.

#### Money laundering

28 Describe any money laundering rules or other regulations applicable in your jurisdiction requiring due diligence, record keeping or disclosure of the identities of (or other related information about) the investors in a private equity fund or the individual members of the sponsor.

The German Anti-Money Laundering Act is based on the EU Anti-Money Laundering Directive, and Germany implemented the most recent amendments of that Directive with effect from 2020. Every investor must be identified and the investor's beneficial owner must be disclosed (know-your-customer-process). The obtained documents and information must be stored. In addition, Germany has implemented a transparency register with regard to beneficial owners in a vehicle. In a typical private equity structure, the aforementioned anti-money laundering requirements do not extend to the members of the sponsor (except for disclosures in the transparency register).

#### **EXCHANGE LISTING**

#### Listing

29 Are private equity funds able to list on a securities exchange in your jurisdiction and, if so, is this customary? What are the principal initial and ongoing requirements for listing? What are the advantages and disadvantages of a listing?

Private equity funds in Germany are typically structured as limited partnerships (KG). Partnership interests in these funds are not tradable on the stock exchanges. However, there are very few private equity companies structured as a corporation that are listed on the stock exchange. Such listing provides investors with greater liquidity as the shares are publicly traded, thus retail investors may invest. Unlike a fund organised as a partnership, however, a fund organised as corporation is not transparent, but is subject to German corporate tax at the fund level.

#### **Restriction on transfers of interest**

30 To what extent can a listed fund restrict transfers of its interests?

According to German listing rules, it is practically impossible to restrict transfers of listed securities.

#### PARTICIPATION IN PRIVATE EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

#### Legal and regulatory restrictions

31 Are funds formed in your jurisdiction subject to any legal or regulatory restrictions that affect their participation in private equity transactions or otherwise affect the structuring of private equity transactions completed inside or outside your jurisdiction?

There are no legal or regulatory restrictions for funds managed by German sub-threshold managers to participate in private equity transactions. Fully licensed alternative investment fund managers, however, must comply with the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD) anti-asset stripping rules, as well as with the investment-related restrictions of the specific fund category. For instance, open-ended funds may invest only a limited percentage of their assets into unlisted companies.

#### **Compensation and profit-sharing**

32 Describe any legal or regulatory issues that would affect the structuring of the sponsor's compensation and profit-sharing arrangements with respect to the fund and, specifically, anything that could affect the sponsor's ability to take management fees, transaction fees and a carried interest (or other form of profit share) from the fund.

The Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) mentioned in an unofficial statement that carry beneficiaries may only be persons that promote the purpose of the fund. In addition, under the European Securities and Markets Authority's remuneration rules, carried interest is deemed to comply with the risk alignment and other requirements of the AIFMD if it is paid only after contributed capital and hurdle payments to the investors (and if there is a clawback). The taking of transactions fees should be disclosed in the fund documents. Typically, transaction fees are deducted from the management fee.

#### **UPDATE AND TRENDS**

#### Key developments of the past year

33 What are the most significant recent trends and developments relating to private equity funds in your jurisdiction? What impact do you expect such trends and developments will have on global private equity fundraising and on private equity funds generally?

#### Act to Strengthen Germany as a Fund Jurisdiction

In early December 2020, the German Federal Ministry of Finance published a draft Act to Strengthen Germany as a Fund Jurisdiction. The key point of the draft legislation is the VAT-exemption of management fees for venture capital funds. This has been a crucial point, which the German funds industry has stressed for years in order to establish a fund-friendly and internationally competitive legal and tax framework in Germany. There is concern that the suggested wording is too narrow, resulting in both excluding a large number of alternative investment fund categories (eg, buyout funds, infrastructure funds, private debt fund and real estate funds), as well as creating potential issues under European state aid rules. Other points of the draft legislation are the German implementation of the amendment of the Alternative Investment Fund Managers Directive (AIFMD) regarding pre-marketing rules, as well as the possibility to structure close-ended German special funds as segregated assets. Most provisions shall come into force on 1 July 2021. Whether the draft comes into effect as proposed is yet to be seen.

#### Reporting of certain cross-border arrangements (DAC 6)

Effective as of 1 January 2020, Germany has implemented Directive (EU) 2018/822 of 25 May 2018 amending the Directive on Administrative Cooperation (DAC 6). This law introduces new reporting obligations for certain cross-border arrangements. As of 1 July 2020, cross-border arrangements must be reported to the German Federal Central Tax Office (BZSt). Pre-existing arrangements (the first step of implementation was realised after 24 June 2018) must be reported retroactively within two months after 30 June 2020. The new law will have implications for private equity funds and their investors. Both a private equity fund itself and its portfolio investments of such fund may, in certain cases, constitute a cross-border arrangement. The reporting obligation is relevant for intermediaries (including fund managers and their legal and tax advisors) as well as for relevant taxpayers (including fund investors). If the fund (or one of its investments) is seen as a crossborder arrangement owing to the fulfilment of one of certain hallmarks (in some cases, a tax advantage must also be one of the main advantages of cross-border arrangement, the 'main-benefit test'), the fund manager would have to report the arrangement to the BZSt. Also, the fund manager would have to provide the registration number and disclosure number assigned by the BZSt to the investors. Both numbers must be included in the investors' tax returns. The involved legal or tax advisors can also report to the BZSt on behalf of the fund manager or the investor, provided there is a waiver of their professional privilege of confidentiality. As the new law is drafted in a rather vague fashion and in the light of potential fines for non-reporting, there is a potential risk that the market will take a conservative approach and the legislation might result in over-reporting of fund structures. Therefore, the German Federal Ministry of Finance (BMF) issued a draft circular on 2 March 2020 to give guidance and limit such reporting obligations. An additional draft circular was issued on 14 July 2020, though with limited guidance on fund structures. For further guidance, the BMF intends to publish a white list of cross-border arrangements that do not have to be reported. It is hoped that the final circular and the white list contain reporting limitations for 'normal' fund structures.

#### Investment Tax Act

The German Investment Tax Act has been in place since 2018. However, certain areas of interpretation are still not fully resolved. To address this point, the German tax authorities have issued new administrative guidance over the course of the past two years. Mostly, these interpretations have been helpful in addressing and clarifying open issues. However, draft guidance issued in mid-December 2019 had raised some new concerns. As drafted, it might have severely restricted the ability of German special investment funds (often set up as managed accounts by certain German institutional investors) to invest in target funds organised as a corporation or in a contractual form. At this point, it is not clear whether this new restriction is a result of a drafting hiccup or an intended policy change. A later draft of mid-June 2020 clarified certain points, but left others still unresolved and has been subject to additional comments from industry participants. A final version of the guidance has not yet been issued (as of early December 2020). The good news,

however, is that target funds organised as limited partnerships – as most international private equity funds are – do not seem to be falling under this restriction. Industry trade groups are alert and in the process of raising this point and the potential significant negative consequences if the draft became effective with the German tax authorities. It remains to be seen whether this road block will be removed. It may affect the use of special investment funds by German institutional investors for certain types of investments.

#### Funds' cross-border marketing of fund interests

German lawmakers are currently preparing a draft law to implement the recent EU amendments of the AIFMD with regard to pre-marketing and marketing communications of collective investment funds (Directive (EU) 2019/1160). In this context, Germany is currently reviewing its Capital Investment Act for drafting errors and practical amendments. The new regime will lead to a partly stricter regulation in Germany compared to the current regulation on pre-marketing. Further, it remains to be seen whether German extends the new regime to non-EU managers.

#### ESG/Taxonomy Regulation:

Furthermore, Regulation (EU) 2019/2088 on sustainability-related disclosures in the financial services sector and Regulation (EU) 2020/852 on the establishment of a framework to facilitate sustainable investment are going to challenge every participant on the financial market to assess the sustainability of their business and investments.

#### Coronavirus

34 What are some of the significant developments and initiatives relating to the covid-19 pandemic that have impacted private equity fund formation?

In the context of the covid-19 crisis and its economic consequences, the German federal government implemented a range of instruments in order to secure liquidity and financing for start-ups and venture capital companies.

The Stabilisation Fund Act establishes an Economic Stabilisation Fund (ESF). The target group of the ESF are larger enterprises outside the financial sector whose survival would be threatened if they were to have a significant impact on the economy, technological sovereignty, security of supply, critical infrastructure or the labour market.

The ESF has two main instruments at its disposal: the provision of guarantees for liabilities incurred by companies from the beginning of the coronavirus crisis until the end of 2021, and participation in recapitalisation operations of companies through a range of financial instruments (silent or open participation, profit-sharing rights, convertible bonds, etc).

In the field of venture capital, the German government has announced a concrete package of measures. Public venture capital investors, such as the EIF or the High-Tech Gründerfonds, will be provided with additional funds. These funds will be used for financing rounds of start-ups (together with, as usual in this sector, private co-investors).

In addition, the fund investors KfW Capital and EIF are to receive additional resources to take over shares from defaulting fund investors, if necessary. In general, the German government intends to facilitate the financing of start-ups as part of the package of measures.

Parallel to this package of measures, the German government is coordinating the design of a 'future fund' for start-ups. This fund is to support the way out of the crisis for start-ups in the medium term and amounts up to  $\pounds 10$  billion until 2030.

## POELLATH+

Tarek Mardini tarek.mardini@pplaw.com

Sebastian Käpplinger sebastian.kaepplinger@pplaw.com

Potsdamer Platz 5 10785 Berlin Germany Tel: +49 30 25 353 0 Fax: +49 30 25 353 999

An der Welle 3 60322 Frankfurt/Main Germany Tel: +49 69 247 047 0 Fax: +49 69 247 047 30

www.pplaw.com

#### Other titles available in this series

**Acquisition Finance** Advertising & Marketing Agribusiness Air Transport Anti-Corruption Regulation Anti-Money Laundering Appeals Arbitration Art Law Asset Recovery Automotive Aviation Finance & Leasing **Aviation Liability Banking Regulation Business & Human Rights Cartel Regulation Class Actions Cloud Computing Commercial Contracts Competition Compliance Complex Commercial Litigation** Construction Copyright **Corporate Governance Corporate Immigration Corporate Reorganisations** Cybersecurity **Data Protection & Privacy Debt Capital Markets Defence & Security** Procurement **Dispute Resolution** 

**Distribution & Agency** Domains & Domain Names Dominance **Drone Regulation** e-Commerce **Electricity Regulation Energy Disputes** Enforcement of Foreign Judgments **Environment & Climate** Regulation **Equity Derivatives** Executive Compensation & **Employee Benefits Financial Services Compliance Financial Services Litigation** Fintech Foreign Investment Review Franchise Fund Management Gaming **Gas Regulation Government Investigations Government Relations** Healthcare Enforcement & Healthcare M&A **High-Yield Debt** Initial Public Offerings Insurance & Reinsurance Insurance Litigation Intellectual Property & Antitrust **Investment Treaty Arbitration** Islamic Finance & Markets Joint Ventures Labour & Employment Legal Privilege & Professional Secrecy Licensing Life Sciences Litigation Funding Loans & Secured Financing Luxury & Fashion M&A Litigation Mediation Merger Control Mining **Oil Regulation** Partnerships Patents Pensions & Retirement Plans Pharma & Medical Device Regulation **Pharmaceutical Antitrust** Ports & Terminals **Private Antitrust Litigation** Private Banking & Wealth Management **Private Client Private Equity** Private M&A **Product Liability** Product Recall **Project Finance** 

Public M&A Public Procurement Public-Private Partnerships Rail Transport Real Estate Real Estate M&A **Renewable Energy** Restructuring & Insolvency **Right of Publicity Risk & Compliance Management** Securities Finance Securities Litigation Shareholder Activism & Engagement Ship Finance Shipbuilding Shipping Sovereign Immunity Sports Law State Aid Structured Finance & Securitisation Tax Controversy Tax on Inbound Investment Technology M&A **Telecoms & Media** Trade & Customs Trademarks **Transfer Pricing** Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally

### lexology.com/gtdt