

# Chambers

GLOBAL PRACTICE GUIDE

---

Definitive global law guides offering  
comparative analysis from top ranked lawyers

# Corporate Tax

Germany

P+P Pöllath + Partners

[chambers.com](https://chambers.com)

# 2019

# GERMANY

---

## LAW AND PRACTICE:

p.3

Contributed by P+P Pöllath + Partners

The 'Law & Practice' sections provide easily accessible information on navigating the legal system when conducting business in the jurisdiction. Leading lawyers explain local law and practice at key transactional stages and for crucial aspects of doing business.

# Law and Practice

Contributed by P+P Pöllath + Partners

## Contents

<b>1. Types of Business Entities Commonly Used, Their Residence and Their Basic Tax Treatment</b>	<b>p.6</b>	<b>5. Key Features of Taxation of Non-Local Corporations</b>	<b>p.9</b>
1.1 Corporate Structures and Tax Treatment	p.6	5.1 Compensating Adjustments When Transfer Pricing Claims are Settled	p.9
1.2 Transparent Entities	p.6	5.2 Taxing Differences	p.9
1.3 Determining Residence	p.6	5.3 Capital Gains of Non-Residents	p.9
1.4 Tax Rates	p.6	5.4 Change of Control Provisions	p.9
<b>2. Key General Features of the Tax Regime Applicable to Incorporated Businesses</b>	<b>p.6</b>	5.5 Formulas Used to Determine Income of Foreign-Owned Local Affiliates	p.9
2.1 Calculation for Taxable Profits	p.6	5.6 Deductions for Payments by Local Affiliates	p.9
2.2 Special Incentives for Technology Investments	p.7	5.7 Constraints on Related Party Borrowing	p.9
2.3 Other Special Incentives	p.7	<b>6. Key Features of Taxation of Foreign Income of Local Corporations</b>	<b>p.10</b>
2.4 Basic Rules on Loss Relief	p.7	6.1 Foreign Income of Local Corporations	p.10
2.5 Imposed Limits on Deduction of Interest	p.7	6.2 Non-Deductible Local Expenses	p.10
2.6 Basic Rules on Consolidated Tax Grouping	p.7	6.3 Taxation on Dividends from Foreign Subsidiaries	p.10
2.7 Capital Gains Taxation	p.7	6.4 Use of Intangibles	p.10
2.8 Other Taxes Payable by an Incorporated Business	p.7	6.5 Taxation of Income of Non-Local Subsidiaries Under CFC-Type Rules	p.10
2.9 Incorporated Businesses and Notable Taxes	p.8	6.6 Rules Related to the Substance of Non-Local Affiliates	p.10
<b>3. Division of Tax Base Between Corporations and Non-corporate Businesses</b>	<b>p.8</b>	6.7 Taxation on Gain on the Sale of Shares in Non-Local Affiliates	p.10
3.1 Closely Held Local Businesses	p.8	<b>7. Anti-avoidance</b>	<b>p.10</b>
3.2 Individual Rates and Corporate Rates	p.8	7.1 Overarching Anti-avoidance Provisions	p.10
3.3 Accumulating Earnings for Investment Purposes	p.8	<b>8. Other</b>	<b>p.10</b>
3.4 Sales of Shares by Individuals in Closely Held Corporations	p.8	8.1 Regular Routine Audit Cycle	p.10
3.5 Sales of Shares by Individuals in Publicly Traded Corporations	p.8	<b>9. BEPS</b>	<b>p.10</b>
<b>4. Key Features of Taxation of Inbound Investments</b>	<b>p.8</b>	9.1 Recommended Changes	p.10
4.1 Withholding Taxes	p.8	9.2 Government Attitudes	p.11
4.2 Primary Tax Treaty Countries	p.9	9.3 Profile of International Tax	p.11
4.3 Use of Treaty Country Entities by Non-Treaty Country Residents	p.9	9.4 Competitive Tax Policy Objective	p.11
4.4 Transfer Pricing Issues	p.9	9.5 Features of the Competitive Tax System	p.11
4.5 Related Party Limited Risks Distribution Arrangements	p.9	9.6 Proposals for Dealing with Hybrid Instruments	p.11
4.6 Comparing Local Transfer Pricing Rules and/or Enforcement and OECD Standards	p.9	9.7 Territorial Tax Regime	p.11
		9.8 CFC Proposals	p.12

9.9 Anti-Avoidance Rules	p.12
9.10 Transfer Pricing Changes	p.12
9.11 Transparency and Country-by-Country Reporting	p.12
9.12 Taxation of Digital Economy Businesses	p.12
9.13 Other General Comments	p.13

**P+P Pöllath + Partners** is an internationally operating firm, whose more than 130 lawyers and tax advisers provide high-end advice in Berlin, Frankfurt and Munich. More than half of its professionals specialise in the tax implications of the firm's primary areas of expertise: transactions, asset management and private equity. It is particularly renowned for its close combination of tax and legal advice

regarding all the main practice areas of P+P, such as M&A, private equity, real estate transactions and family businesses. Corporate tax services include the structuring of national and international M&A and subsequent reorganisations, corporate tax planning and the structuring of national and international groups of companies, and the application and interpretation of double taxation treaties.

## Authors



**Dr Michael Best** has been a partner at P+P Pöllath + Partners Munich since 2001 and is the head of the tax department. He has a wealth of experience in domestic and international tax law, the tax structuring of cross-border acquisitions and real estate

transactions, and the structural and ongoing tax aspects of private equity funds, including tax matters of the investors and carried-interest holders. A member of the German Association of Tax Advisers, for many years he has authored and co-authored the Germany chapter in several international publications and on corporate tax-related aspects in renowned German publications and journals, as well as regularly blogging on Handelsblatt online. He is a sought-after speaker on German corporate tax aspects in national and international conferences such as SuperReturn and Mupet (Munich Private Equity Training).



**Verena Hang** is an associate in the Munich office, with experience in domestic and international tax law, general tax planning and tax structuring. She is responsible for all tax-related articles of the editorial board of the online

magazine [www.pe-magazin.de](http://www.pe-magazin.de), which is an information and communication platform in Germany and Europe that provides insights into the current topics and trends of the private equity industry, including all relevant tax aspects. Verena joined P+P in 2017 and worked previously as an attorney at a Swiss law firm. She is admitted to the bars in Germany and Switzerland, and has a Master's degree in law and economics from the University of St Gallen in Switzerland.



**Gerald Herrmann** is a counsel who specialises in domestic and international tax law, general tax planning and tax structuring with a focus on private equity. He is a member of the renowned German Steuerkreis Regensburg eV, the association

for the promotion of tax education and training at the University of Regensburg, and is fluent in English and French as well as his native German. Gerald is the author and co-author of several international and national publications, and has written on tax-related aspects in commentaries such as the 'Münchener Handbuch für Gesellschaftsrecht' (the Munich Handbook of Corporate Law) and in corporate tax-related publications in German tax journals such as 'Internationales Steuerrecht' (International Tax Law), as well as regularly blogging on current tax issues and topics on Handelsblatt online Steuerboard.

## 1. Types of Business Entities Commonly Used, Their Residence and Their Basic Tax Treatment

### 1.1 Corporate Structures and Tax Treatment

Businesses generally adopt the form of a limited liability company (GmbH) or a joint stock company (AG). These corporations are taxed as separate legal entities. The key differences between the two relate to the treatment each receives under commercial law:

- Under a GmbH the shareholders are authorised to give instructions to a managing director, there is a low degree of fungibility of shares and there is a wide range of possibilities for the design of the articles of association.
- Under an AG a supervisory board and a management board are mandatory, with both operating independently from the shareholders regarding the business decisions. There is personal liability for the management and supervisory board, and there is a high degree of fungibility of shares.

### 1.2 Transparent Entities

The type of partnership most commonly used for transparent entities is the *Kommanditgesellschaft* (KG). The KG is most commonly adopted for investment purposes due to its limitation of liability. Only one shareholder (*Komplementär*) is unlimitedly liable as the General Partner (GP), while the liability of the other shareholders (*Kommanditist*) is limited to their compulsory contribution. It is also possible to choose a GmbH as the GP; this means that no individual is subject to unlimited liability. This kind of partnership is referred to as GmbH & Co. KG and is usually chosen for private equity structures.

### 1.3 Determining Residence

According to German tax law, the residence of incorporated businesses depends on the question of where (i) the place of management and (ii) the statutory/registered seat are situated. Usually, the double taxation treaties provide regulations that the place of effective management is decisive in the case of a double residence of a corporation (tie-breaker rule).

### 1.4 Tax Rates

Corporations with a registered seat or place of management based in Germany are subject to unlimited tax liability in Germany. Non-resident corporations are only taxed on their German-sourced income. The income of a corporation is qualified as business income that is subject to corporate tax and municipal trade tax at an approximate total rate of 30%.

The corporate tax rate (including a solidarity surcharge) stands at 15.825%. A special tax rate applies for shares held in other corporations. Dividends received (as of 1 March 2013, only where the shareholding exceeds 10%) and capital gains recognised from the disposal of shares are tax-exempt,

although 5% of the proceeds are deemed non-deductible expenses, resulting in an effective tax rate of 1.5%.

Municipal trade tax rates range from 13% to 17%, depending upon the municipality the business operates in. For trade tax purposes, capital gains from the sale of shares are generally tax-exempt, whereas dividends received from a German-located corporation are only tax-exempt if the shareholding amounts to at least 15% (10% if the shareholding is received from an EU company).

However, regarding capital gains it is being discussed that the tax exemption for capital gains for corporate income tax as well as trade tax purposes will only apply for shareholdings of at least 10% in future.

Partnerships such as a KG are transparent for income/corporate tax purposes so that profits and losses are taxed at the partners' level. Assets, liabilities and income of the partnership are generally allocated to the partners in proportion to their partnership interests. Municipal trade tax, however, is levied at the level of the partnership (if it conducts a trade or commercial activity).

The taxation of individuals' income (who own a business or are a partner in a transparent partnership carrying out a business) generated by themselves or through the partnership generally depends upon their personal tax rate (tax rates up to 47.5%, including solidarity surcharge of 5.5%; and also possibly a church tax). However, dividend payments, as well as capital gains from the sale of shares which are realised in the context of a business, are subject to so-called partial-income procedures, so that only 60% of the income deriving from dividends or capital gains will be taxed.

## 2. Key General Features of the Tax Regime Applicable to Incorporated Businesses

### 2.1 Calculation for Taxable Profits

As corporations are legally obliged to keep records, they have to determine their income through the comparison of business assets and annual financial statements. Generally, tax accounts depend on the financial accounts according to the principle of decisiveness (*"Maßgeblichkeitsgrundsatz"*). However, there are some deviations of tax accounts from financial accounts, such as the restriction of the application of current value tax depreciation to cases of permanent depreciation, the prohibition of provisions for onerous contracts, and the discounting requirement for long-term interest-free liabilities, with interest at below the market rate.

Where taxpayers are obliged to balance (eg corporations), profits are taxed on an accrual basis (realisation principle).

## 2.2 Special Incentives for Technology Investments

There is no specific, comprehensive support for R&D by way of special tax treatment in Germany. However, in its 2018 coalition agreement the German government declared its intention to set up tax-wise R&D funding with broad and nationwide impact, especially for medium-sized companies.

## 2.3 Other Special Incentives

Germany provides special investment incentives to small- and medium-sized companies by way of an additional capital allowance of up to 20% of the original costs and investment, and a deduction of up to 40% of the prospective original costs.

## 2.4 Basic Rules on Loss Relief

Regarding income and corporate tax, loss relief is granted through the application of the following instruments:

- The positive and negative income of one year is netted.
- The taxpayers may choose to carry back the losses to the previous year, or they may choose to carry forward the losses indefinitely. In the case of carry-back, any losses may be offset against the profits of the preceding year up to EUR1 million. An offset by way of carry-forward is possible up to EUR1 million annually without restriction. Regarding negative income that exceeds the EUR1 million threshold, in each subsequent year only 60% of additional income can be offset against such losses carried forward. The transfer of a share percentage of 25% to 50% within five years may result in a pro rata forfeiture of carry-forward not yet offset. The transfer of a share percentage over 50% may result in a total forfeiture of carry-forward not yet offset. These rules exceptionally do not apply if there are hidden reserves taxable in Germany reaching the amount of the carry-forward not yet offset. With retroactive effect as of January 2016 a new regulation became law offering an additional possibility to avoid the forfeiture of the carry-forward not yet offset under very strict requirements, eg the maintenance of the same business over a certain period of time and no participation in a tax group or partnership.
- The regulation that tax losses carried forward could forfeit partially in case of a change of at least 25% of the shareholding (described above) has been declared unconstitutional by the Federal Constitutional Court. This judgment created legal uncertainty that still remains because the legislature now has to pass a new law concerning this subject matter with retroactive effect to 1 January 2008. The current draft version of the Annual Tax Act 2018 provides for suspension of the application of the existing law from 31 December 2007 until 1 January 2016.

In the case of trade tax, trade earnings may be reduced by loss carry-forward; carry-back is not provided. An offset is possible without restriction against losses of up to EUR1

million; regarding losses exceeding EUR1 million annually, only 60% of losses may be offset against subsequent trade earnings. The rules regarding forfeiture of carry-forward are the same as for corporate tax.

## 2.5 Imposed Limits on Deduction of Interest

German tax law provides interest barrier regulations. Interest expenses may be deducted without restriction up to the amount of interest income obtained in the same business year; amounts in excess are only deductible up to the amount of 30% of EBITDA. This restriction does not apply if interest income does not exceed EUR3 million each business year, or if the company is only partially part of a group of companies ("Standalone Clause"), or if an equity comparison shows an equity equal to or higher than the equity of the group of companies ("Escape Clause"). The Standalone Clause does not apply to corporations in the case of harmful debt financing (interest payable to the shareholder exceeding 10% of such interest payable that exceeds interest income) by shareholders/persons related to shareholders/third parties with considerable influence on shareholders holding more than 25% of shares in the corporation. The Escape Clause is not applicable in the case of harmful debt financing within the whole group of companies. Interest exceeding the 30% threshold may be carried forward indefinitely, except in the case of the sale of more than 25% of the shares within five years.

## 2.6 Basic Rules on Consolidated Tax Grouping

Consolidated tax grouping (*Organschaft*) enables groups of companies to offset the losses and profits within a group of subsidiaries against the profits of their parent company (and profits transferred to the parent company from other subsidiaries). It requires that (i) the parent company holds the majority of voting rights in the subsidiary, (ii) the parent company has unlimited tax liability in Germany, and (iii) a profit transfer agreement has been concluded and executed for at least five years prior. However, it should be noted that the parent company is also liable for the losses of its subsidiaries.

## 2.7 Capital Gains Taxation

Effectively, 95% of capital gains deriving from the sale of shares in other corporations are tax-exempt, resulting in an effective tax rate of 1.5%.

However, it is actually being discussed that the tax exemption for capital gains will only apply for shareholdings of at least 10% in future.

## 2.8 Other Taxes Payable by an Incorporated Business

If immovable property is transferred, real estate transfer tax (RETT) becomes due. The applicable tax rate depends on the question of where the immovable property is situated in Germany and varies between 3.5% and 6.5%. If at least 95%



of the shares in a corporation or, similarly, at least 95% of the partnership interest in a partnership owning real estate situated in Germany is directly or indirectly transferred to one purchaser or a group of related parties the transaction could trigger RETT. Furthermore, if at least 95% of the partnership interest in a partnership owning real estate situated in Germany is directly or indirectly transferred to new shareholders within five years RETT could be triggered. Presently, the German State Finance Ministers decided in June 2018 to draft a law (i) to lower the thresholds to 90% or even lower, (ii) to extend the period for partnerships from five to ten years and (iii) to apply that ten-year period to corporations as well.

## 2.9 Incorporated Businesses and Notable Taxes

Incorporated businesses are generally subject to VAT; however, they usually are able to claim input VAT as well.

## 3. Division of Tax Base Between Corporations and Non-corporate Businesses

### 3.1 Closely Held Local Businesses

Closely held local businesses are mostly structured as limited liability companies (GmbH) or as limited partnerships with a limited company as general partner (GmbH & Co KG).

### 3.2 Individual Rates and Corporate Rates

If an individual professional does not intend to retain the profits of the corporation, but instead pay out the profits, by way of either salary or dividends, then they face an overall tax burden of up to 50% (in the case of dividends this is split into two levels: corporate/trade tax at the level of the corporation as well as individual tax at a flat rate). Thus there is no benefit.

### 3.3 Accumulating Earnings for Investment Purposes

There are no measures in place to prevent closely held corporations from accumulating earnings for investment purposes. The retained earnings of corporations are taxed at a lower rate than distributed profits.

### 3.4 Sales of Shares by Individuals in Closely Held Corporations

There are no special taxation rules for closely held corporations; the general rules apply (see below).

### 3.5 Sales of Shares by Individuals in Publicly Traded Corporations

Where shares are part of the private assets of an individual, dividends are taxed with a flat tax rate of 25% with an additional 5.5% solidarity surcharge, resulting in a final valid tax rate of 26.375%. Capital gains on the sale of shares are also taxed at this flat tax rate if the individual's stake is below

1%. The 'partial-income procedure' (taxation of only 60% of proceeds at the progressive tax rate) is applicable if the stake equals or exceeds 1%, resulting in a maximum tax rate of approximately 30%. For the determination of income from capital gains a lump sum of EUR801 is deducted generally. If the stake is below 1%, regarding the offset of losses from capital gains, there are several restrictions – for example, only gains of the same kind of income may be offset. If the stake equals or exceeds 1%, there is no restriction regarding the offset of 60% of the losses from capital gains. If the shares are part of the individual's business assets, the flat tax rate of 26.375% is replaced by the personal tax rate for both dividends and capital gains. However, only 60% of dividends for capital gains are taxed and only 60% of operating costs are deductible.

## 4. Key Features of Taxation of Inbound Investments

### 4.1 Withholding Taxes

The withholding tax is principally levied on dividends at a rate of 26.375% (including a solidarity surcharge). Non-EU corporations with limited tax liability may request a reimbursement of two fifths of withheld tax so that the tax burden effectively amounts to 15.825% (including a solidarity surcharge) and is therefore equal to the tax burden for German corporations. The application of this regulation requires that the non-EU corporation is active within Germany. EU corporations which are subject to a limited tax liability benefit from the Parent-Subsidiary Directive. Under this directive they may obtain a 100% tax exemption for dividends, provided that the parent company has held a direct stake of at least 10% in the subsidiary for a continuous period of 12 months or more. Certain activity requirements need to be met. Furthermore, withholding tax might be reduced as well according to treaties. Under current German law an EU corporation especially has to prove sufficient substance in the form of an equipped business and that the income was generated by its own economic activities. However, it should be noted, that these requirements were recently declared to be not compliant with EU law by the European Court of Justice (ECJ). However, we expect new substance regulations from the German legislature in due course.

Only specific interest is subject to withholding tax: this includes profit-related interest, interest collateralised by real estate in Germany and exceptions such as interest resulting from 'over-the-counter transactions' and interest attributed to other types of income.

In all other cases interest income is not subject to limited tax liability and is therefore not subject to withholding tax. Interest paid from an EU corporation to an EU corporation may be tax-exempt if the Interest and Royalties Directive is applicable.



Royalty payments are subject to limited tax liability and withholding tax at an amount of 15.825% which is levied from the gross income.

#### **4.2 Primary Tax Treaty Countries**

Due to the favourable taxation measures granted to EU corporations most foreign investors invest via EU Member States. The most common tax treaty countries are the Netherlands and Luxembourg.

#### **4.3 Use of Treaty Country Entities by Non-Treaty Country Residents**

German tax law has several anti-treaty-shopping clauses in order to prevent the abuse of tax treaties. German tax authorities thus check whether an entity claiming for tax relief with reference to a tax treaty generates its income through its own activities and whether there are considerable reasons to act via the tax-privileged entity in question.

Furthermore, there exist subject-to-tax clauses through which it is prevented that certain income is taxed in neither of two treaty countries.

#### **4.4 Transfer Pricing Issues**

The main issue in tax audits regarding transfer pricing is ensuring compliance with the arm's-length principle. Other issues found are the examination of the transfer pricing methodologies chosen, the assessment of the attribution of beneficial ownership in the companies' assets as declared, and ensuring the fulfilment of formal requirements when issuing the obligatory reports.

#### **4.5 Related Party Limited Risks Distribution Arrangements**

All transactions within a group of companies have to meet the requirements of the arm's-length principle.

#### **4.6 Comparing Local Transfer Pricing Rules and/or Enforcement and OECD Standards**

Germany makes explicit reference to OECD standards in the circulars issued by the Federal Ministry of Justice and case law; legal provisions, such as Section 1 of the Foreign Tax Act, are based on the OECD standards.

### **5. Key Features of Taxation of Non-Local Corporations**

#### **5.1 Compensating Adjustments When Transfer Pricing Claims are Settled**

Generally, German tax authorities scrutinise compensating adjustments critically and recognise them only subject to strict conditions. Consequently, compensating adjustments must be based on a previously agreed pricing method that is applied in pre-defined scenarios of uncertainty and leads to an "arm's length" result. The underlying Principles of

Administrative Procedure have not been updated since 2005 and despite international developments, eg by the EU Joint Transfer Pricing Forum, an update is not expected in the near future. There are no reports on any particular difficulties in operating MAPs. On the contrary, based on recent MAP statistics of December 2017, only 1% of completed procedures involving Germany could not be settled. Hence, the overall operation of MAPs is deemed satisfactory.

#### **5.2 Taxing Differences**

Generally, there are no differences between local branches of non-local corporations and local subsidiaries of non-local corporations; however, in practice there usually are problems/discussions regarding the allocation of income/expenses and assets.

#### **5.3 Capital Gains of Non-Residents**

Capital gains of non-residents on a sale of stock in local corporations are taxed if the shareholding is at least 1%. However, usually the tax treaties eliminate such taxation.

#### **5.4 Change of Control Provisions**

A change of control might result in the (partial) forfeiture of tax losses carried forward in the case of a change of at least 25% of the shareholding (see **2.4 Basic Rules on Loss Relief**).

Furthermore, the real estate transfer tax (RETT) could be triggered by certain transactions with corporations/partnerships owning real estate (see **2.8 Other Taxes Payable by an Incorporated Business**).

#### **5.5 Formulas Used to Determine Income of Foreign-Owned Local Affiliates**

There are no specific formulas used to determine the income of foreign-owned local affiliates selling goods or providing services, but it must be ensured that the determination follows the arm's-length principle.

#### **5.6 Deductions for Payments by Local Affiliates**

There are no specific rules regarding the deduction for payments by local affiliates for management and administrative expenses incurred by a non-local affiliate. However, generally, the arm's-length principle and the transfer pricing rules must be taken into consideration.

#### **5.7 Constraints on Related Party Borrowing**

Any borrowing between related parties must comply with the arm's-length principle. The granting by a local affiliate of an interest-free loan or of one with an interest below market standards may result in a hidden profit distribution. In comparison, a loan granted with an interest that is above market standards may result in a hidden contribution.

### 6. Key Features of Taxation of Foreign Income of Local Corporations

#### 6.1 Foreign Income of Local Corporations

In principle, the worldwide income of local corporations is taxed in Germany. The part of the income of a local corporation which originates from foreign sources which are taxed in the state of source with a tax comparable to German corporate tax is taxed in Germany, taking into account the tax paid abroad. If a double tax treaty applies, the regulations laid down there have priority. A 95% tax exemption applies for dividends and capital gains from foreign sources if the shareholding is at least 10% (for corporate income tax) and 15% (for trade tax).

For CFC taxation see below.

#### 6.2 Non-Deductible Local Expenses

If foreign income is tax-exempt in Germany, corresponding expenses which are economically directly connected to such income are not deductible in Germany.

#### 6.3 Taxation on Dividends from Foreign Subsidiaries

Under German tax law, for income to qualify as dividend income the same rules apply regardless of the origin of the dividends from foreign or local sources. Thus, under income tax aspects, 95% of dividend income is tax-exempt, except dividend income deriving from free-float below 10%.

For trade tax, the tax exemption for proceeds resulting from foreign subsidiaries is granted if the local corporation holds at least 10% of the subsidiary. Under certain provisions (especially activity) even a sub-subsidiary may benefit from this privilege.

#### 6.4 Use of Intangibles

Intangibles may be transferred or let (royalties) at arm's-length conditions resulting in taxable income (transfer price or royalties) at regular rates.

#### 6.5 Taxation of Income of Non-Local Subsidiaries Under CFC-Type Rules

Generally, passive low-taxed income of non-local subsidiaries (dominated by Germans) is taxed in Germany.

The income is added to that of the local corporation and is then subject to regular German tax rules. In the case of passive investment income, the income will be taxed in Germany even in cases where German shareholding is 1% or below.

#### 6.6 Rules Related to the Substance of Non-Local Affiliates

German CFC rules do not generally relate to the substance of non-local affiliates. However, the carve-out from CFC rules which is provided for EU corporations requires – besides

other conditions – that the non-local affiliate carries out an actual economic activity.

#### 6.7 Taxation on Gain on the Sale of Shares in Non-Local Affiliates

The gains made by local corporations on the sale of shares in non-local affiliates enjoy the same 95% tax exemption as granted for the sale of shares in local subsidiaries. However, for trade tax purposes this requires that the non-local affiliate carries out only or almost only an active activity. Furthermore, it is still under discussion whether to apply the tax exemption for capital gains only for shareholdings of at least 10% in future. But no concrete steps have been planned yet.

### 7. Anti-avoidance

#### 7.1 Overarching Anti-avoidance Provisions

Section 42 of the General Tax Code provides for a general anti-avoidance rule which applies in case of abusive tax structures. On the level of the EU, the Anti-Tax Avoidance Directive (ATAD) establishes a common minimum level of anti-avoidance rules that every Member State has to ensure compliance with.

### 8. Other

#### 8.1 Regular Routine Audit Cycle

There is no audit cycle prescribed by law. However, audits generally tend to take place once every three to four years.

### 9. BEPS

#### 9.1 Recommended Changes

At year end 2016 the so-called “BEPS 1-Implementation Act” passed the German legislation process. This was the first step to implement the recommendation of the BEPS process into domestic law.

The BEPS 1-Implementation Act leads to an extension of cooperation obligations in cross-border situations which is based on BEPS action point 13 “Transfer Pricing Documentation and Country-by-Country Reporting”. As a result the transfer pricing documentation now consists of a Master File, a country-specific and company-related Local File and a country-specific Country-by-Country Report. Furthermore, the information exchange standards and reporting obligations arising from the amendments to the EU Mutual Administrative Cooperation Directive have been implemented into German law. The amended transfer pricing documentation rules are applicable for the first time to fiscal years starting after 31 December 2016. The Country-by-Country Report is to be prepared for fiscal years starting

after 31 December 2015 and to be submitted to the German tax authorities by 31 December 2017.

As of 1 January 2017, tax rulings (ie advance cross-border rulings and advance pricing arrangements) issued, reached, amended or renewed after 31 December 2014 have to be automatically exchanged amongst the EU Member States. These amendments take the recommendations made in BEPS action point 5 “Measures to counter harmful tax practices” into account.

Furthermore, Germany has introduced a provision to limit the tax deductibility of licence fees or royalty payments to foreign related parties that benefit from preferential tax regimes (such as IP, Licence or Patent Boxes) which are incompatible with the OECD nexus approach of BEPS action point 5 “Measures to counter harmful tax practices”.

Furthermore, the BEPS 1-Implementation Act introduced a new regulation into domestic law in order to prevent double taxation of business expenses (ie double deduction) for partnerships effective from 1 January 2017.

Germany also signed the OECD Multilateral Instrument in June 2017. In a first step Germany would like to amend over 30 of its approximately 100 double tax treaties, provided that the other countries agree. Ratification shall be made in the current legislative period, and the first amendments of double tax treaties are expected for 2019.

In compliance with the recommendation of BEPS action point 12 a draft legislation is currently prepared in order to introduce disclosure obligations regarding certain tax arrangements. The EU Directive on Administrative Cooperation in the field of taxation requires the EU-wide implementation of disclosure rules for cross-border arrangements and has yet to be transferred into German law as of 31 December 2018.

## 9.2 Government Attitudes

The broad implementation of the recommendations and standards of the BEPS project is explicitly mentioned in the 2018 coalition agreement of the German governmental parties. The German government has ever since fully supported the BEPS project and Germany played an outstanding role in accompanying the project both politically and professionally. As Germany already has comparably strict tax laws, the intention of the German government with regard to BEPS is especially to enforce stricter international taxation standards in the EU and other countries in order to achieve fair tax competition between countries.

In line with previous negotiations of the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership (TTIP) Germany addressed concerns that unless the United States implemented anti-

BEPS measures there would be an unjustified advantage for US companies compared to German or European ones.

## 9.3 Profile of International Tax

There is public concern whether the current applicable international tax law is able to keep up with the challenges of globalisation or enables tax avoidance and allows base erosion and profit-shifting advantages. The discussion was sparked in 2012 by media reports of Starbucks avoiding taxes on a large scale in the UK and was then extended to global IT firms and swept over other EU countries.

Developments such as the Luxembourg Leaks and the Panama Papers particularly influenced public and political discussions on aggressive tax structures (such as IP boxes etc) and underlying tax rulings, which lead to tax rates of less than 5%. As a result, not only the business and political press but also tabloids frequently reported about such developments. However, neither the BEPS project nor the implementation of its recommendations receives considerable media attention.

## 9.4 Competitive Tax Policy Objective

As a strong export country, Germany does not pursue a competitive tax policy objective. In fact, Germany has already introduced anti-abuse and CFC rules in order to limit base erosion and profit-shifting. As a result, Germany seeks to achieve international standards for fair and realistic tax competition.

## 9.5 Features of the Competitive Tax System

Germany does not have a competitive tax system that might be particularly affected by anti-BEPS measures.

## 9.6 Proposals for Dealing with Hybrid Instruments

Hybrid instruments have mainly been used in Germany for cross-border financing. Meanwhile, Germany has implemented a domestic anti-abuse rule (correspondence principle) for interest income and dividend payments from hybrid instruments of foreign corporations that is applicable as of the 2014 assessment year. Furthermore, the very same correspondence principle has been considered in the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive.

In line with the BEPS 1-Implementation Act, a separate regulation to prevent double deduction of business expenses for partnerships has been introduced into Germany domestic law effective from 1 January 2017.

## 9.7 Territorial Tax Regime

The German tax regime is not territorial but residence-based. Germany generally taxes worldwide income, subject to tax treaties that usually exempt interest income of foreign shareholders from taxation. Originally this was the reason for introducing thin-capitalisation rules. However, mean-

while the interest-deduction limitation rules exceed this scope by far and cover national structures as well.

According to these regulations, interest payable may be immediately deducted up to the amount of interest income obtained in the same business year; amounts in excess are only deductible up to the 30% of EBITDA. This restriction does not apply if interest income does not exceed EUR3 million each business year, or if the company is not part of a group of companies (“Standalone Clause”), or if an equity comparison shows an equity equal to or higher than the equity of the group of companies (“Escape Clause”). The Standalone Clause does not apply to corporations in the case of harmful debt financing (interest payable to the shareholders exceeding 10% of such interest payable that exceeds interest income) by shareholders/persons related to shareholders/third parties with considerable influence on shareholders holding more than 25% of shares in the corporation. The Escape Clause is not applicable in the case of harmful debt financing within the whole group of companies. Interest exceeding the 30% threshold may be carried forward indefinitely, except in the case of the sale of more than 25% of the shares within five years (cf **2.5 Imposed Limits on Deduction of Interest**).

### 9.8 CFC Proposals

With respect to EU law, conflicts may be looming with the general drift of the CFC proposals, particularly with regard to the freedom of establishment. The ECJ has decided in the case of Cadbury Schweppes that CFC rules unjustifiably restrict the freedom of establishment, unless the specific objective of a CFC rule is to prevent conduct involving the creation of wholly artificial arrangements which do not reflect economic reality, with a view to escaping the tax normally due on the profits generated by activities carried out in national territory. Thus, the case law of the ECJ has limited the application of CFC rules. It is questionable whether the BEPS proposals consider this fact. Apart from that, German tax law already provides for strict CFC rules for offshore subsidiaries whose passive income is taxed at a “low rate” of less than 25%. However, it is possible that BEPS might result in selective changes of this regime.

#### **P+P Pöllath + Partners**

Hofstatt 1  
Entrance Färbergraben 1  
80331 Munich

Tel: +49 89 24240-0  
Fax: +49 89 24240-999  
Email: [muc@pplaw.com](mailto:muc@pplaw.com)  
Web: [www.pplaw.com](http://www.pplaw.com)

P+P Pöllath + Partners  
Attorneys-at-Law | Tax Advisors



### 9.9 Anti-Avoidance Rules

To address the inappropriate granting of treaty benefits and other potential treaty abuse scenarios, Germany has already implemented domestic “anti-treaty shopping rules” several years ago. According to these regulations, benefits will not be granted if a company’s main purpose is to gain access to advantageous conditions derived from DTC and/or EU directives (eg the EU Parent-Subsidiary Directive). Furthermore, domestic subject-to-tax clauses to prevent under- and non-taxation due to DTC or EU directive benefits and CFC rules are in place. Thus German tax law already provides adequate regulations to address the abuse of benefits and tax avoidance in general.

### 9.10 Transfer Pricing Changes

Transfer pricing matters for intellectual property are a crucial issue for companies and advisors in Germany, as the evaluation, benchmarking and documentation of IP are always challenged in German tax audits.

As a result of the recently introduced new transfer pricing documentation concept with the newly implemented Country-by-Country Reporting as well as the Master File and the Local File, intellectual property has to be documented more extensively. Therefore, comments have to be made regarding the creation, beneficial ownership, chances and risks, etc of intellectual property. The new concept does not radically change things; however, intellectual property will be more transparent for tax authorities in Germany and other countries. Consequently, there are certain concerns that this could lead to more challenging tax field audit procedures, including income corrections in Germany and other countries.

### 9.11 Transparency and Country-by-Country Reporting

Due to German transfer pricing reporting and documentation requirements, certain transparency with regard to inter-company cross-border transactions already exists. Furthermore, there are disclosure obligations in case a German tax resident (an individual or a legal entity) establishes permanent enterprises or partnerships abroad or acquires shares in foreign corporations. In connection with the Country-by-Country Reporting that has been implemented by the BEPS 1-Implementation Act concerns must be raised, as companies will face further significant administrative barriers in the future.

### 9.12 Taxation of Digital Economy Businesses

Prompted by BEPS action point 1 the EU Commission adopted two legislative proposals in March 2018 relating to the taxation of digital activities in the EU. One of the two draft directives seeks to reform corporate tax rules so that profits are registered and taxed where businesses have significant interaction with users through digital channels. The other draft directive seeks to impose an interim tax that

covers the main digital activities. The 2018 coalition agreement of the German governmental parties is in full support of and provides for measures to enable adequate taxation of the digital economy.

### **9.13 Other General Comments**

Since the German legislature has already codified many regulations to prevent profit-shifting and to stabilise the German tax revenue during the last few years, the introduction of further substantial domestic anti-avoidance rules due to the BEPS process is not expected; however, amendments of the currently applicable rules are being discussed, such as an adjusted interest barrier rule and the definition of and allocation of profits to permanent establishments.